



ASIA CONSULTATIVE CONFERENCE

CREATING BETTER BUSINESS
ENVIRONMENTS FOR
ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT

29 November
to 1 December 2006
Bangkok, THAILAND

Regional and Global Lessons for More Effective Donor Practices



Toward a Greater Understanding of Business Constraints in Pakistan

Session 3.3 Focusing Reform Efforts

Presentation by

Sajjad MOGHAL, Small and Medium Enterprise Development Authority, Pakistan
and

Wade PFAU, National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies, Tokyo, Japan



<http://www.smeda.org.pk>



Country Profile



PAKISTAN FACTS (2005)

Population: 152.53 Million

Capital: Islamabad

Monetary unit: Pakistani Rupee (Rs. 60 ≈ US\$1)

Life expectancy: 63 years (men), 65 years (women)

Country GDP: US \$112 Billion

GDP per Capital: US \$736

Exports: US\$ 14.41 Billion

Imports: : US\$ 20.62 Billion

Economic Growth Rate: 8.4%

Contribution by Sector: Industry(25%) Agriculture (24%) Services (51%)



Sub-National Business Environment Reforms

- **Firms are Heterogeneous Economic Units**
- **Constraints in Business Environment vary by firm characteristics**
- **Firm Characteristics:** Firm size, Region, Access to export markets, Manufacturing Sub-sector, Firm age, and Type of ownership
- **Reforms can be more meaningful if these variations are taken into account**
- **We study the relationship between firm characteristics and the degree of business constraints reported by firms using regression analysis**
- **Investment Climate Assessment Survey of Pakistan provides a rich data set for this study**

Summary of Constraints by Severity

(Percentage of firms ranking constraint as "major" or "very severe")

Constraint	Severity
Tax administration	47%
Tax rates	47%
Financing Costs (interest rates)	43%
Economic Policy Uncertainty	40%
Corruption	40%
Electricity	39%
Access to Financing (collateral)	38%
Macroeconomic instability	35%
Customs & Trade regulation	24%
Anti-competitive Practices	21%
Crime, theft and disorder	21%
Access to Land	21%
Labor Regulations	16%
Business Permits	15%
Skills & Education of workers	13%
Transportation	10%
Telecommunications	8%

Firm Size - Does Size Matter ?

- Taxation, Electricity, Access to Financing are ***Binding Constraints*** in firm growth
- Micro firms - Financing and Economic policy uncertainty are binding constraints. Taxation, electricity not binding
- Access to finance is a major issue for small firms
- Taxation and Electricity problems are biggest for medium
- Large firms devote more resources for dealing with taxation issues, Small firms are most burdened as %age of sales
- For SME sector, areas for intervention are:
 - ❑ Taxation: Rates and general administration have to be reviewed
 - ❑ Improvement in the provision of electricity
 - ❑ Expansion of formal financing for smaller firms
- ***Improvements in other areas would benefit larger enterprises***

Regional location of the Firm

- **Sindh and NWFP less conducive than Punjab and Balochistan**
- **Regional location matters most for cost & access to financing and for electricity**
- **Costs and access to financing is major issue in Sindh**
- **NWFP experiences the biggest problems with electricity, followed by Sindh and Punjab**
- **Non-binding constraints:**
 - ❑ **Customs and trade regulations are less of problem in Balochistan and Punjab**
 - ❑ **Access to land is a bigger problem in Sindh**
 - ❑ **Crime, Business permits, and Worker skills – Balochistan**

Access to Export Markets

- **Exporting firms overwhelmingly have fewer complaints**
- **Exporters enjoy special incentive packages in taxation and financing**
- **Exporting firms pay tax at a rate ranging between 0.75 – 1.25% of sales**
- **Central Bank allows exporters access to export refinancing funds, at concessional rates**
- **Corruption, Policy & Regulatory uncertainty are non-issues**
- **Only constraint identified as binding by exporting firms is electricity**

Manufacturing Sub-sectors

- **Seven Sub-sectors: Textiles, garments, leather goods, food processing, electronics, chemicals, and sports goods**
- **Sports goods, leather goods, and electronics - better off than the textiles, garments, chemicals and food processing industries**
- **Sports goods and leather goods industries do not experience any binding constraints**
- **Meanwhile, the food processing industry complains most loudly - the responses from textiles, garments, and chemicals are close**
- **Results point to improving investment conditions for textiles, garments, chemicals and food processing industries**

Firm Age

- Firm age is not an important factor
- Two constraints with differential responses by age are tax administration and macroeconomic instability
- **Tax administration:** Constraint for firms between 11 and 15 years old
- **Macroeconomic Stability:** Binding constraint for firms between 6 – 10 yrs old
- Conditions are severe for New Entrants – 30% die within a year

Firm Ownership Structure

- **Private limited structure is punitive**
- **Publicly listed companies have less complaints than even sole proprietorships**
- **Complaints of sole proprietorships, publicly listed companies, and partnerships are generally not statistically distinguishable**
- **Privately held companies spend more days dealing with regulators and pay more to government regulators in terms of fines and bribes**
- **Pakistan does not offer any incentives to corporate entities in terms of tax administration, tax rates, interest rates, access to finance**
- **Sole proprietary concerns can easily escape from regulators' radar without losing any advantages**

Conclusions

- ***Current Reform in taxation, power and banking sectors may not improve BE for SMEs***
- **Business Environment can not improve unless we customize solutions**
- **Idiosyncratic characteristics of each economic region must be taken into account**
- **There is need for systematic analysis, rather than working just with perceptions**
- **Must expand the capacity of policy making institutions**

Final thoughts

- **Currently, a new Investment Climate Survey is being conducted by SMEDA and the World Bank in Pakistan, which will show how conditions in 2006 compare and contrast to several years earlier.**
- **Evaluation tools such as ICA or DBS must have the flexibility to capture the real issues of each local situation**
- **Donors support for promoting sector and regional development and articulating important policy issues is pivotal.**

